Оценка соблюдения приципов терапии Унифицированный протокол трансдиагностического лечения эмоциональных расстройств

	ФИО	Установочный модуль: Введение ——————————————————————————————————
		Дата оценки:
		ущих жалоб у пациента
	Задач	а для этой части сессии — лучше понять проблемы пациента.
Соблю,	<u>дени</u> е	принципов:
Делал л	пи тер	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□Да□	Нет	(1) Обзор прелылущих диагнозов с опорой на оценку со стороны самого пациента.
□Да□] Не т	(2) Выяснение специфических для этого пациента симптомов и их влияния на жизнь пациента.
II. <u>Зна</u>	комст	во с программой терапии
	унифи	а для этой части сессии — познакомить пациента с ицированным протоколом трансдиагностического лечения иональных расстройств.
□Да□	Нет	(3)Познакомил пациента с концептуализацией.
		(4) Помог пациенту понять основные задачи го, как выполнение этих задач поможет разобраться с тревогой и проблемами
□ Да □ —	Нет	настроения. (5) Обзор основных навыков, которым пациент обучится в ходе лечения.
		а для этой части сессии — познакомить пациента с общим форматом терапии ная характер и важность постоянной оценки и практики между сессиями.
Соблю,	дение	принципов:
Делал л	пи тер	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие)
□Да	□ Нет	(6) Объяснил роль терапевта (совместное исследование).

□Да	□ Нет	(7) Обзор структуры сессии (включая число и продолжительность).
□Да	□ Нет	(8) Обзор важности постоянной оценки происходящего (как терапевт и клиент могут обозначить проблему, отследить улучшение и скорректировать лечение).
□Да	□ Нет	(9) Обсуждение важности межсессионных практик (тренировка навыков, применение в «реальной жизни»).
	как ис Depre фикси	и для этой части сессии — подвести базу под самонаблюдение и объяснить, спользовать Overall Anxiety Severity and Interference Scale (OASIS), Overall ssion Severity and Interference Scale (ODSIS), и Дневник улучшений, чтобы провать происходящие эмоциональные переживания и отслеживать прогрессе лечения.
Собл	<u>юдени</u> е	принципов:
Делал	т ли тера	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□Да	□ Нет	(10) Подвел базу под запись эмоциональных переживаний на регулярной основе.
□Да	□ Нет	(11) Познакомил с OASIS, ODSIS и Дневником улучшений.
V.	Домашн	пее задание:
□Да	□ Нет	(12) Выдал материалы и задания, соответствующие данной сессии.

Установочный модуль: Введение

Продолг	кителі	<u>ьно</u> сть:					
		(1) Продолжите	льность сессии	т 1			
□Да	Да Пет (1a) 45—75 минут						
<u>Непреду</u>	смотр	енные приемы:					
(2) Терап модель т		спользовал прием и?	иы, которые не	включены в эт	о пособие и	ли общую	
□Да		Нет					
(2а) Если	и да, ог	іишите:					
Итогова	ія оцеі	іка:					
		— рассчитайте д лючая пункт «Пр	-	•	-		
Дополн	ительн	ные критерии:					
(4) Оцен	ите ка	чество общения с	с пациентов (те	епло, открытос	гь, уважение	е, юмор и пр.):	
	0 Плох	1 о На грани	2 Приемлемо	3 Достаточно	4 Хорошо	5 Отлично	
пытался усвоения	вовлеч н, совм	о какой степени т нь в лечение (нап естная работа на одходящим для п	гр., сократичест д заданием, уч	кие вопросы, п ет мнения и пр	роверка пон	имания и	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	Плох	о На грани	Приемлемо	Достаточно	Хорошо	Отлично	
	и, эффе	к терапевт справ ективное использ ны)		· • •			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	Плох	о На грани	Приемлемо	Достаточно	Хорошо	Отлично	
		асколько терапев а том уровне, что			я и способен	н донести	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	Плох	о На грани	Приемлемо	Достаточно	Хорошо	Отлично	

Общая оценка сессии:

(8) Пожалуйста, дайте сессии общую оценку, приняв во внимание, насколько терапевту удалось преподнести ключевые моменты лечения и выполнить основные задачи.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Плохо	На грани	Приемлемо	Достаточно	Хорошо	Отлично

(9) Успешно/ безуспешно:

□ Успешно □ Безуспешно

Важно: «Успешно» = Сессия длилась как минимум 30 минут. Процент соблюдения прицнипов — 80 или выше, сессия получила оценку 3 и выше.

Дополнительные комментарии эксперта:

Сессия 1: Дополнительные критерии

1. Насколько пациент воспринимал терапевта и его/ее действия во время сессии?

Оценка основывается на словесном поведении во время сессии. Оценка 0 выставляется, если пациент открыто сопротивлялся (напр., отказывался говорит, делиться информацией или примерами, ругал терапевта или метод/пособие или демонстрировал низкую мотивацию выполнять домашнее задание). Оценка 4 воставляется, если пациент готов воспринимать инфомацию и действия терапевта (словесно соглашается, отмечает, что пригодится информация, навыки или пособие, или выражает готовность выполнять домашнее задание изо всех сил). Оценка 2 выставляется, если пациент говорит безразличным тоном или вообще не проговаривает, интересно ему или нет (напр., часто использует минимальные средства выражения, такие как «ага», без какой-либо заметной окраски).

0	1	2	3	4
Вообще не	Едва ли	Нейтрально	Средне	Очень
воспринимает	воспринимает	(безразличен)	восприимчив	восприимчив

2. Пожалуйста, оцените, насколько пациент усвоил информацию:

Оценка основывается непосредственно на **словесном** поведении по время сессии (укажите Н/Б, если нет никакой информации). Особое внимание следует уделить информации, полученной во время обзора домашней работы в начале сессии. Показатели усвоения: правильное использование терминов, уместные вопросы по поводу принципов, уместные/соответствующие ответы и примеры. Показатели недостатка усвоения: недоумение относительно идеи или смысла упражнения, когда его ясно описали; неспособность ответить на простые вопросы или привести уместные примеры; утверждения, идущие в разрез с принципами терапии (напр.: «Я пытался мысли более позитивно, как мы и обсуждали»); общие слова, такие как: «Я просто не понимаю». Сессии, где пациент усвоил отдельные принципы, но упускает другие, могут получить оценку 1, 2, или 3.

Н/Б	0	1	2	3	4
Невозможно	Полное	Ограниченное	Приемлемый	Умеренно	Наивысший
оценить, так	отсутствие	усвоение	уровень	высокий	уровень
как	усвоения		усвоения	уровень	усвоения
недостаточно	принципов			усвоения	
информации					

3. Пожалуйста, оцените уровень усвоения навыков:

Оценка основывается на **словесном** поведении по время сессии (укажите Н/Б, если нет никакой информации). Особое внимание следует уделить информации, полученной во время обзора домашней работы в начале сессии, а также отработке навыков в ходе сессии (напр., когнитивное переосмысление). Показатели усвоения: способность самостоятельно применять навыки в соответствующем контексте; способность применять навыки гибко (ad hoc); многократное использования навыков (мониторинг за день). Показатели недостаточного усвоения: никаких попыток применить навыки в течение недели; неспособность самостоятельно применять навыки в соответствующем контексте; неспособность применять навыки гибко (ad hoc). Попытки применять навыки (лишь отчасти успешно) или недостаток самостоятельности (напр., наблюдения по двум дням вместо недели) получают оценку 1, 2 или 3.

Н/Б	0	1	2	3	4
Невозможно	Полное	Ограниченное	Приемлемый	Умеренно	Наивысший
оценить, так	отсутствие	усвоение	уровень	высокий	уровень
как	усвоения,		усвоения	уровень	усвоения —
недостаточно	неспособность			усвоения	способность
информации	самостоятено				самостоятено
	применять				применять
	навыки.				навыки.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Модуль 1: Создание мотивации для включенности в терапию

ФИ	О:
Дата	а: Дата оценки: 1.1
I. <u>Создани</u>	не мотивации:
	<u>ча э</u> того модуля — помочь пациенту взрастить мотивацию, чтобы добиться шей включенности в терапию и лучшего результата.
<u>Соблюдени</u> е	е принципов:
Делал ли те	ерапевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Да □ Нет	г (1) Объяснил, что такое мотивация и как она важна для результата.
□ Да □ Нет	(2) Помог пациенту оценить «за» и «против» изменений или стабильности.
□ Да □ Нет	т (3) Помог пациенту очертить задачи терапии и посильные шаги на пути к их решению.
II. <u>Доман</u>	шнее задание:
Делал ли те	ерапевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Да □ Нет	(4) Выдал материалы и задания, соответствующие данной сессии. Примеры:
• J	• Объяснить, как пользоваться OASIS и ODSIS и оценивать степень тревоги и депрессии с помощью Дневника улучшений.
•	Если не успели на сессии, попросить пациента зап олнить Лист ба лансировки решений, обозначив «за» и «против» поведенческих изменений.
	Если не успели на сессии, попросить пациента заполнить Лист целеполагания в терапии, разработанный для того, чтобы пациенты смогли представить ясны конкретные задачи для поведенческих изменений.

И

Module 1: Overall Rating

Session	Duratio	on:				
		(1) Duration of sess	sion 1.1			
□ Yes	□No	(1a) Session duration	on is betwe	een 45-75 minutes	s for all sessi	ons
Disallov	ved inte	erventions:				
(2) Therete treatment	-	plemented intervent	ions that ar	re not included in	this manual	or model of
□ Yes		No				
(2a) If Y	es, deso	eribe:				
Adherei	nce Sun	nmary Score:				
		Overall Adherence- uding the session dur				ems that were
Addition	nal The	rapist Ratings:				
(4) Rate humor):	the qua	lity of the therapist's	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	ppenness, respect,
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
to involv understa	ve them ting or	ent to which the there in the treatment (e.g recall of information as or suggestions, us	g. use of So , worked c	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
. ,		rapist's ability to ma reeded logically, mad	_	\ U 1	ne patient on	task, used time
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
		rapist understanding tient can understand.		nt concepts and th	neir ability to	deliver information
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent Page 8 of 5

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

(9)	Pass/	Fail:

Pass	Fail

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 1: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session? This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

	0	1	2	3	4
	Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
2.	Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 2: Психобразование и оценка эмоционального опыта

Subject ID:	Rater Initials:
Session Date(s):	Date Rated:
2.1	
2.2	
I. <u>Обзор д/з:</u>	
Делал ли терапевт следующее	е (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes □ No (1) Для всех сесс улучшений	ий в модуле: обзор OASIS, ODSIS, а также Дневника
□ Yes □ No (2) Обзор д/з и от	ценка сложностей в заполнении форм
	пациент некомплаентный, разбор лучших способов я выполнения д/з
II. Часть 1- Эмоциональная осв	ведомленность:
	— дать представление о: функциональной природе эмоций, сли и эмоционально обусловленном поведении (ЭОП).
Делал ли терапевт следующее	е (отметить только наличие или отсутствие)
□ Yes □ No (4) Помог пациенту	понять, как важная функция эмоций.
□ Yes □ No (5) Познакомил с по	нятием об моционально обусловленном поведении (ЭОП).
	рехкомпонентной моделью и помог пациенту начать ть свои состояния в ее рамках
Часть 2- Осознание и отслеживан	ние эмоционального ответа:
· —	— дать представление об АРКе а и выученных реакций.
Делал ли терапевт следующее	е (отметить только наличие или отсутствие)
□ Yes □ No (7) Познакомил с	е АРКой эмоционального опыта.
□ Yes □ No (8) Обсудил выуч	ченные реакции.

III.	Домашь	вее задание:
Делал	пи тер	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes	•	(9) Выдал материалы и задания, соответствующие данной сессии. Например: Объяснил, как пользоваться OASIS и ODSIS и оценивать степень тревоги и прессии с помощью Дневника улучшений. Попросил пациента разложить хотя бы одно переживание по Трехкомпонентной . одели Попросил пациента испольщовать формы Мониторинга эмоций и ЭОП

Module 2: Overall Rating

Session Durati	on:				
	(1) Duration of ses (1a) Duration of se				
□ Yes □ No	(1b) Session durati	on is betwe	een 45-75 minutes	s for all sessi	ions
Disallowed int	erventions:				
(2) Therapist in treatment?	nplemented intervent	ions that ar	re not included in	this manual	or model of
□ Yes	□ No				
(2a) If Yes, des	cribe:				
Adherence Sur	mmary Score:				
	Overall Adherence- uding the session du				ems that were
Additional The	erapist Ratings:				
(4) Rate the quantumor):	ality of the therapist'	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	ppenness, respect,
0 Poor	1 Marginal				5 Excellent
to involve them understating or	ent to which the ther in the treatment (e.g recall of information ons or suggestions, us	g. use of So n, worked co	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
	rapist's ability to ma ceeded logically, ma	-	` <u> </u>	ne patient on	task, used time
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
	rapist understanding		nt concepts and th	neir ability to	deliver information
0 Poor	1	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
1 001	mar giriar	1 411	Tuoquut	2004	Page 14 of 52

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

П	Pass	Fail
	I UDD	1 uı

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 2: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session? This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale

Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 3: Тренировка эмоциональной осведомленности

Su	bject ID: _	Rater Initials:
Ses	ssion Date(s	Date Rated:
	3.1	
	3.2	
I. <u>Обзор д</u> /	3:	
Делал ли	терапевт сл	педующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes □ I улучшений		всех сессий в модуле: обзор OASIS, ODSIS, а также Дневника
□ Yes □ N	No (2) Обз	ор д/з и оценка сложностей в заполнении форм
□ Yes □ N	No DN/A	(3) Если пациент некомплаентный, разбор лучших способов добиться выполнения д/з
II. <u>Emotion</u>	al Awarenes	s Training:
		portion of the module is to have the patient practice nonjudgmental, presentess in an emotional experience.
Did the the	rapist do the	following (indicate only presence or absence):
□ Yes □ N	No (4) Intro	oduce nonjudgmental emotion awareness.
□ Yes □ N	No (5) Intro	oduce present-focused awareness.
□ Yes □ N	No (6) Con	duct an in-session emotion awareness exercise.
□ Yes □ N	No (7) Hav	e the patient practice techniques using a musical mood induction.
III. <u>Hom</u>	ework Assig	nment:
Did the the	rapist do the	following (indicate only presence or absence):
□ Yes □ N	Наприм Объясн депресс	ил, как пользоваться OASIS и ODSIS и оценивать степень тревоги и с помощью Дневника улучшений. the patient to continue using the Monitoring Emotions and EDBs in

- Have the patient practice nonjudgmental, present-focused awareness using the exercise and record experiences on the <u>Nonjudgmental Present-Focused Emotion Awareness</u> form.
- Have the patient practice present-focused awareness and record on the <u>Anchoring in the</u> Present form.
- Ask the patient to listen to two songs in his or her own music collection that have strong
 personal meaning associated with them and record reactions to the songs on the <u>Mood</u>
 <u>Induction Recording form.</u>

Module 3: Overall Rating

□ Yes □ No (2a) If Yes, describe:	Session Durati	on:				
Disallowed interventions:						
(2) Therapist implemented interventions that are not included in this manual or model of treatment? Yes	□ Yes □ No	(1b) Session durati	on is betwe	en 45-75 minutes	s for all sessi	ions
treatment? Yes	Disallowed int	erventions:				
Adherence Summary Score: (3) % Overall Adherence- calculate the percentage of applicable items that were completed, including the session duration item at the top of this page Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): O	(2) Therapist in treatment?	nplemented intervent	tions that ar	e not included in	this manual	or model of
Adherence Summary Score: (3)	□ Yes	□No				
(3) % Overall Adherence- calculate the percentage of applicable items that were completed, including the session duration item at the top of this page Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): 0	(2a) If Yes, des	cribe:				
Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information	Adherence Sur	nmary Score:				
(4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information						ems that were
humor): 0 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): 0 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) 0 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information	Additional The	erapist Ratings:				
(5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information	(4) Rate the quahumor):	ality of the therapist'	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	ppenness, respect,
to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information		1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	
Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information	to involve them understating or	in the treatment (e.grecall of information	g. use of Son, worked co	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's
effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions) 0 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information		-				
Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information		-	-		ne patient on	task, used time
(7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information		=				
	Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent
at a level the patient can understand.				nt concepts and the	neir ability to	deliver information
0 1 2 3 4 5	0	1	2			
Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent Page 20 of 52	Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

Pass	Fail

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 3: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session? This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale

Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 4: Когнитивная оценка и переоценка

	Subje	et ID: Rate	r Initials:
	Sessio	n Date(s): Date	Rated:
		4.1	
		4.2	
I. <u>Обз</u>	ор д/з:		
Делал	п ли тер	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отс	утствие):
□ Yes	\square No	(1) Для всех сессий в модуле: обзор OASIS, ODSIS, а т	акже Дн <u>евника улучше</u> ний
□ Yes	□No	(2) Обзор д/з и оценка сложностей в заполнении форм	
□ Yes	□ No	(3) Если пациент некомплаентный, разбор лу добиться выполнения д/з	чших способов
II. Koi	гнитивн	ая оценка и переоценка:	
		гой части модуля — помочь пациенту увидеть, как мыслыальное переживание	пи могут влиять на
Делал	пли тер	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отс	утствие)
□ Yes	□No	(4) Объяснил отношения взаимовлияния между мысля	ми и эмоциями.
□ Yes	\square No	(5) Познакомил с понятием автоматической оценки (су	ждения)
□ Yes	\square No	(6) Познакомил с понятием ловушки мышления и науч	ил их различать
□ Yes	□No	(7) Познакомил с понятием когнитивной переоценки и пользоваться, чтобы развить мыслительную гибкость	научил пациента ею
III.	Домаш	нее задание:	
Делал	ли тер	 апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отс	утствие)
□ Yes	□No	(8) Выдал материалы и задания, соответствующие дан. Например: • Объяснил, как пользоваться OASIS и ODSIS и оцени и депрессии с помощью Дневника улучшений.	

- Попросил пациента поработать в Технике нисходящей стрелы, чтобы определить основные автоматические суждения для предыдущих д/з
- Попросил испольщовать форму Идентификации и оценки автоматических суждений, чтобы отслеживать их, а также возникающие эмоции.
- Если пациент готов, объяснил, как выработать хотя бы одно альтернативное суждение для каждого автоматического и попросил записать в последнюю колонку форму Идентификации и оценки

Module 4: Overall Rating

	Session Durati	on:				
Disallowed interventions: (2) Therapist implemented interventions that are not included in this manual or model of treatment? Yes No (2a) If Yes, describe:						
(2) Therapist implemented interventions that are not included in this manual or model of treatment? Yes No (2a) If Yes, describe:	□ Yes □ No	(1b) Session durati	on is betwe	en 45-75 minutes	s for all sessi	ions
treatment? Yes No (2a) If Yes, describe: Adherence Summary Score: (3) % Overall Adherence- calculate the percentage of applicable items that were completed, including the session duration item at the top of this page Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): 0	Disallowed int	erventions:				
Adherence Summary Score: (3) % Overall Adherence- calculate the percentage of applicable items that were completed, including the session duration item at the top of this page Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): 0	•	nplemented intervent	ions that ar	e not included in	this manual	or model of
Adherence Summary Score: (3) % Overall Adherence- calculate the percentage of applicable items that were completed, including the session duration item at the top of this page Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): 0	□ Yes	□No				
(3) % Overall Adherence- calculate the percentage of <i>applicable</i> items that were completed, including the session duration item at the top of this page Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): 0	(2a) If Yes, des	cribe:				
Additional Therapist Ratings: (4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time	Adherence Sur	nmary Score:				
(4) Rate the quality of the therapist's rapport with the patient (e.g. warmth, openness, respect, humor): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time						ems that were
humor): 0 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): 0 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time	Additional Th	erapist Ratings:				
(5) Rate the extent to which the therapist engaged in interactive exchange with patient and tried to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): 0 1 2 3 4 5Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time	•	ality of the therapist'	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	penness, respect,
to involve them in the treatment (e.g. use of Socratic questioning, checked the patient's understating or recall of information, worked collaboratively on assignments, sought the patient's opinions or suggestions, used patient relevant examples): O 1 2 3 4 5 Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time		1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	
Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent (6) Rate the therapist's ability to manage the session (e.g. kept the patient on task, used time	to involve them understating or	in the treatment (e.g recall of information	g. use of So	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's
		=		_		
effectively, proceeded logically, made smooth transitions,	1 /		_	\ U	ne patient on	task, used time
0 1 2 3 4 5		-				
Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent	Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent
(7) Rate the therapist understanding of treatment concepts and their ability to deliver information at a level the patient can understand.				nt concepts and th	neir ability to	deliver information
0 1 2 3 4 5	0	1	2			
Poor Marginal Fair Adequate Good Excellent Page 26 of 52	Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

Pass	Fail

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 4: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session? This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 5: Избегание и ЭОП

	Subje	ct ID:	Rater Initials:
	Sessio	on Date(s):	Date Rated:
		5.1	
		5.2	
I. <u>Обзо</u>	ор д/з:		
Делал	ли тер	апевт следующ	ее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes	□ No	(1) Для всех сес	ссий в модуле: обзор OASIS, ODSIS, а также Дневника улучшений
□ Yes	\square No	(2) Обзор д/з и	оценка сложностей в заполнении форм
□ Yes		(3) Еслі □ N/A добить	и пациент некомплаентный, разбор лучших способов ся выполнения д/з
П. Ч	асть 1-	Эмоциональное 1	избегание:
		чные паттерны,	я — познакомить с понятием эмоционального избегания и обсудит которые пациент использует, чтобы избежать эмоциональной
Делал	ли тер	апевт следующ	ее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes	□No	(4) Познакомил	с понятием эмоционального избегания.
□ Yes	□ No		различных типах стратегий избегания и обсужил их вклад ивный цикл эмоционального ответа.
□ Yes	\square No	(6) Помог паци	енту распознать его собственные стратегии.
□ Yes	□No	(7) Продемонст	рировал парадокс эмоционального и збегания.
Часть 2	2- Ново	е об ЭОП:	
			— заново познакомить с понятием эмоционально обусловленного циенту понять, как оно поддерживает эмоциональный ответ
Делал	ли тер	апевт следующ	ее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes	□No	(8) Углубил по	яятие эмоционально обусловленного поведения (ЭОП).

□ Yes □ No	(9) Обосновал рациональные аргументы против.
□ Yes □ No вариантам деі	(10) Помог распознать неадаптивное ЭОП и развить тенденцию к альтернативным иствий.
III. Домашн	вее задание:
Делал ли тер	апевт следующее (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):
	 (11) Выдал материалы и задания, соответствующие данной сессии. Например: Объяснил, как пользоваться OASIS и ODSIS и оценивать степень тревоги и депрессии с помощью Дневника улучшений. пациента заполнить Лист стратегий эмоционального избегания Попросил пациента заполнить форму для Изменения ЭОП Подготовил пациента к эмоциональной эскпозиции, поддержав его в готовности вовлечься в ситуации, связанные со сложными эмоциями и объяснил, как
	оставаться осознанным во время переживания

Module 5: Overall Rating

Session Durati	on:							
	(1) Duration of session 5.1 (1a) Duration of session 5.2							
☐ Yes ☐ No (1b) Session duration is between 45-75 minutes for all sessions								
Disallowed int	erventions:							
(2) Therapist in treatment?	nplemented intervent	ions that ar	e not included in	this manual	or model of			
□ Yes	□ No							
(2a) If Yes, des	cribe:							
Adherence Sur	mmary Score:							
` '	Overall Adherence- uding the session dur			* *	ems that were			
Additional Th	erapist Ratings:							
(4) Rate the quantumor):	ality of the therapist's	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	penness, respect,			
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate		5 Excellent			
to involve them understating or	ent to which the ther in the treatment (e.g recall of information ons or suggestions, us	g. use of So , worked co	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's			
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent			
	rapist's ability to ma ceeded logically, ma			ne patient on	task, used time			
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent			
	rapist understanding		nt concepts and th	neir ability to	deliver information			
0	1	2	3	4	5			
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent Page 32 of 52			

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

Pass	Fail

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 5: Additional Expert Rater Items

${\bf 1. \ How \ receptive \ was \ the \ patient \ to \ the \ the rapist \ and \ his/her \ interventions \ in \ this \ session?}$

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 6: Физическая осведомленность и толерантность

	Session Date(s):			_ Rater Initials:			
				Date Rated:			
		6.1					
 I. Обзо Делал		апевт сле,	дующе	е (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):			
□ Yes улучш		(1) Для в	cex ceco	сий в модуле: обзор OASIS, ODSIS, а также Дневника			
□ Yes	\square No	(2) Обзор	д/з и о	ценка сложностей в заполнении форм			
□ Yes	□No			я пациент некомплаентный, разбор лучших способов я выполнения д/з			
II. <u>A</u>	warene	ss and Tole	rance of	Physical Sensations:			
		oal of this pace emotion		f the module is to help the patient understand how physical feelings crience.	an		
Делал	ли тер	оапевт сле,	дующе	е (отметить только наличие или отсутствие)			
□ Yes	□ No	(4) Помо эмоцияме		нту определить, какие физические ощущения связаны с			
□ Yes	□No			нта лучше понять, в какой степени физические ощущения эмоциональный ответ.			
□ Yes	□ No	(6) Прове	ел упрах	жнение на провокацию неприятных физических ощущений.			
III.	Домаш	нее задани	e:				
Делал	ли тер	оапевт сле,	дующе	е (отметить только наличие или отсутствие):			
□ Yes	□No	(7) Выда Наприме		риалы и задания, соответствующие данной сессии.			

- Объяснил, как пользоваться OASIS и ODSIS и оценивать степень тревоги и депрессии с помощью Дневника улучшений.
- Проинструктировал пациента, как вызывать у себя физические ощущения, и попросил записать в Форму провокации симптомов

Module 6: Overall Session Rating

Session Duration	on:				
	(1) Duration of sess (1a) Duration of ses				
□ Yes □ No	(1b) Session durati	on is betwe	en 45-75 minutes	s for all sessi	ions
Disallowed into	erventions:				
(2) Therapist im treatment?	nplemented intervent	ions that ar	re not included in	this manual	or model of
□ Yes	□ No				
(2a) If Yes, des	cribe:				
Adherence Sur	nmary Score:				
	Overall Adherence- uding the session dur				ems that were
Additional The	erapist Ratings:				
(4) Rate the quahumor):	lity of the therapist's	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	penness, respect,
0 Poor	1 Marginal		3 Adequate	-	5 Excellent
to involve them understating or	ent to which the ther in the treatment (e.g recall of information ns or suggestions, us	g. use of So , worked c	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
1 /	rapist's ability to ma ceeded logically, ma	_	\ U I	ne patient on	task, used time
0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent
	rapist understanding tient can understand		nt concepts and th	neir ability to	deliver information
0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent
					Page 38 of 52

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

(9)	Pass/	Fail:

Pass	Fail

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 6: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session? This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 7: Интероцептивная и ситуационная эмоциональная экспозиция

Subje	ect ID:		Rater Initials:
Sessio	on Date(s):		Date Rated:
	7.1	7.4	
	7.2	7.5	
		7.6	
I. <u>Обзор д</u> /	/3:		
Делал ли	терапевт с	ледующее (отметить	только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes □ I улучшений		я всех сессий в модуле	: обзор OASIS, ODSIS, а также Дневника
□ Yes □ N	No (2) Of	зор д/з и оценка сложн	остей в заполнении форм
□ Yes □ 1	No □ N/A	(3) Если пациент но добиться выполнен	екомплаентный, разбор лучших способов ия д/з
II. <u>Инте</u>	роцептивн	ая и ситуационная эм	<u>поциональна</u> я экспозиция:
Th	e goal of thi	s portion of the module	is to confront strong emotions through emotion exposures.
Делал ли	терапевт с	ледующее (отметить	только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes □ N	No (4) Πο	мог пациенту понять с	мысл эмоциональной экспозиции.
□ Yes □ I изегания.	No (5) Pa3	работал вместе с паци	ентом иерархию эмоционального и ситуационного
□ Yes □ I	No (6) Pas	работал эффективные	экспозиционные упражнения
□ Yes □ N	No (7) По	мог пациенту противос	стоять сильным эмоциям в ходе экспозиции.
III. Дома	ашнее задан	ие:	
Делал ли	терапевт с	ледующее (отметить	только наличие или отсутствие):
□ Yes □ N	Напри • Об	мер:	ия, соответствующие данной сессии. ся OASIS и ODSIS и оценивать степень тревоги вника улучшений

• Попросил пациента практиковать экспозиция хотя бы три раза в неделю и записывать результаты в форму (Форма записи эмоциональной экспозиции).

Module 7: Overall Rating

Session Durati	on:				
	(1) Duration of sess (1a) Duration of ses (1b) Duration of ses	ssion 7.2	(1d)	Dı	uration of session 7.4 uration of session 7.5 uration of session 7.6
□ Yes □ No	(1f) Session duration	on is between	en 45-75 minutes	for all sessi	ions
Disallowed into (2) Therapist in treatment?	erventions: aplemented intervent	ions that ar	re not included in	this manual	or model of
□ Yes	□No				
(2a) If Yes, des	cribe:				
Adherence Sur	mmary Score:				
	Overall Adherence-outling the session dur				ems that were
Additional The	erapist Ratings:				
(4) Rate the quahumor):	ality of the therapist's	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	ppenness, respect,
0 Poor	1 Marginal				5 Excellent
to involve them understating or	ent to which the there in the treatment (e.g recall of information ons or suggestions, us	use of So, worked co	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	ne patient's s, sought the
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
	rapist's ability to macceeded logically, mac	-	, -	ne patient or	n task, used time
0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent
	rapist understanding tient can understand.		nt concepts and th	neir ability to	o deliver information
0	1	2	3	4 Cand	5 Eventlent
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent Page 44 of 52
					1 age 77 01 32

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

(9)	Pass/	Fail:

\square Pass	Fai

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 7: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session? This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or

does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.

Therapist Adherence Rating Scale Unified Protocol for Treatment of Emotional Disorders

Module 8: Предотвращение срывов

_
ться с

Module 8: Overall Session Rating

Session	Duration :	<u> </u>							
	(1) Duration of ses	sion 8.1						
□ Yes	☐ Yes ☐ No (1a) Session duration is between 45-75 minutes for all sessions								
Disallo	wed interv	entions:							
(2) The		emented interven	tions that ar	e not included in	this manual	or model of			
□ Yes	\square N	Го							
(2a) If Y	Yes, describ	pe:							
Adhere	ence Summ	nary Score:							
(3)	% Ov	erall Adherence- ng the session du		-		ems that were			
Additio	onal Thera	pist Ratings:							
(4) Rate humor):		y of the therapist'	s rapport w	ith the patient (e.	g. warmth, o	ppenness, respect,			
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent			
to involundersta	ve them in ating or rec	to which the then the treatment (e.g all of information or suggestions, us	g. use of Son, worked co	cratic questioning ollaboratively on	g, checked the assignments	*			
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent			
	-	ist's ability to ma ded logically, ma	_	, -	ne patient on	task, used time			
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent			
	-	ist understanding nt can understand		nt concepts and the	neir ability to	deliver information			
	0 Poor	1 Marginal	2 Fair	3 Adequate	4 Good	5 Excellent			
	1 001	1,141,211141	r un	1 Idoquato	3000	Page 49 of 52			

Overall Session Rating:

(8) Please provide an overall rating for this treatment session, taking into consideration how effectively the therapist presented key treatment elements and met the primary goals of the session.

0	1	2	3	4	5
Poor	Marginal	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent

(9) Pass/ Fail:

\square Pass	Fai

Note: "Pass" = The session duration was at least 30 minutes. Overall adherence is 80% or greater and/ or the session was rated as being at least "adequate."

Write any additional comments below:

Module 8: Additional Expert Rater Items

1. How receptive was the patient to the therapist and his/her interventions in this session?

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session. A rating of "0" should be given if the patient is openly resistant to the therapist and her/his interventions (e.g., refuses to talk, provide information or examples, denigrates the therapist or the treatment/workbook, or expresses low likelihood of completing homework). A rating of "4" should be given if the patient is very open to the information being provided and the therapist's interventions (expresses verbal agreement, remarks on the helpfulness of the information being provided, the skill being taught, or the workbook, or expresses intention to give the homework assignment their best effort. A rating of "2" can be given when the patient sounds indifferent or does not verbalize any interest or disinterest in what is being discussed (e.g., frequent use of minimal verbal responses, such as "okay" without any noticeable affect).

0	1	2	3	4
Very	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Very
Unreceptive	Unreceptive	(indifferent)	Receptive	Receptive

2. Please rate the patient's level of perceived knowledge acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's **verbal** behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session. Specific indicators of knowledge acquisition include: appropriate use of treatment terms/concepts, appropriate questions related to concepts, and appropriate/relevant responses and examples. A lack of knowledge of treatment concepts include: confusion about a concept or the function of an exercise after it was clearly described; inability to answer simple questions or provide examples related to concepts; statements that are contradictory to the concept (e.g., "I tried to make myself think more positively about my situation like we talked about"); obvious statements, such as "I just don't get it." Sessions in which the patient clearly exhibits some knowledge of a concept(s), while a lack of knowledge of others, would be rated either 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest
rated due to	lack of	degree of	degree of	high degree of	degree of
lack of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of
information -	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment	treatment
no indication	concepts.	concepts	concepts	concepts	concepts.
in either					
direction					

3. Please rate the level of perceived skill acquisition:

This rating should be based directly on the patient's verbal behavior in the session (rate N/A if no information is provided). Particular attention should be given to the information gathered during the homework review at the beginning of the session as well as in-session practice of treatment skills (e.g., cognitive reappraisal). Specific indicators of skill acquisition include: ability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; ability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc); evidence of repeated practice of skill(s) (can include daily monitoring). Specific indicators of a lack of skill acquisition include: no attempt(s) to practice skill during the week; inability to use skill(s) independently and in appropriate contexts; inability to use skill(s) flexibly (ad hoc). An attempt to practice a skill (with only partial success) or limited independent practice (e.g., monitored and recorded two days instead of everyday) would be rated either a 1, 2, or 3.

N/A	0	1	2	3	4
Could not be	Complete lack	Limited	Sufficient	Moderately	Highest degree
rated due to lack	of skill	degree of	degree of	high degree	of skill
of information -	acquisition-	skill	skill	of skill	acquisition - able
no indication in	unable to	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition	to competently
either direction	practice/employ				practice/employ
	skills/strategies				skills/strategies
	independently.				independently.